	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/600,997	MURPHY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
· ·	ILIA OUSPENSKI	1644
All Participants: Status of Application: pending		
(1) <u>ILIA OUSPENSKI</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>KATHRYN DOTY</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 July 2007</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
•	,	
Prior art documents discussed:		
×		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Applicant provided authorization for Examiner's Amendment as set forth in the accompanying Office Action.		
Part III.		•
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
	•	·
	•	
This aspeash		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		